This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,736	01/23/2002	Satoshi Ikeda	SON-2313	3283
23353	7590 06/02/2004		EXAM	INER
	SHMAN & GRAUER	KERVEROS, JAMES C		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20036		2133	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/052,736	IKEDA, SATOSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James C Kerveros	2133
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
ě		
Attachment(s)		*
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	4.	Part of Paper No (Mail Date 5

Application/Control Number: 10/052,736

Art Unit: 2133

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it fails to comply with the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

On line 1, the term "Disclosed" should be deleted. Also, the tem "means" recited on lines 5, 10, 11, 12 should be avoided. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because the specification lacks an enabling description for claims 1-5, in reference to the limitation "the set information" recited in the independent claims 1 and 4.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, the term "any address" should be changed to "an address" due to a typo error. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

æ

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification lacks enabling description with respect to claimed limitation of "the set information" recited in the independent claims 1 and 4.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 5 recite the limitation "the set information", which lacks antecedent basis. Also, the term "the set information" in claims 1 and 5 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "the set information" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The claimed limitation of "outputting the test pattern of a desired address at a

Application/Control Number: 10/052,736

Art Unit: 2133

predetermined timing" has been rendered indefinite, by the use of "the set information", since there is no clear linkage between the two limitations.

Regarding claims 1 and 3, the phrase "such a manner" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kurosaki (US 6314536).

Regarding independent Claims 1 and 4, Kurosaki discloses a semiconductor memory testing apparatus and method (1, FIG. 1), wherein a test signal (test pattern signal) S1 is supplied to an IC memory under test (3) and a read-out signal S3 is compared with an expected value pattern signal S2 supplied from the pattern generator 2 in the logical comparator 4, said apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/052,736

Art Unit: 2133

A pattern generator 2, which includes test pattern memory means for storing test pattern data (expected value pattern signal) S2 and outputting S2 to the logical comparator as specified by an address signal (AD) from the pattern generator.

Also, the pattern generator 2 includes test pattern generation means for generating a test pattern signal S1 on the basis of the (expected value pattern signal) S2 from the test pattern memory means.

Control means (system controller 6) for controlling the test pattern memory means (S2) and the test pattern generation means (S1) in the pattern generator 2, that the pattern generating program stored in the system controller (6) is created, such that the pattern generator 2 generates, in addition to address signals which is supplied to the memory under test 3, address signals to be supplied to the failure analysis memory 5, at a predetermined timing using the controlling circuit 8, in accordance with the pattern generating program.

Regarding Claims 2 and 3, Kurosaki discloses control means (system controller 6), which controls the timing of generation of the test pattern and varies the cycle period to execute the test pattern of the desired address. The system controller 6 varies the cycle period by controlling circuit 8 which is capable of producing two burst address signals in one test period at twice the pulse repetition rate of the test period signal TI. The controller includes a multiplexer 12 which selects address signal AD at terminal "a" from the pattern generator 2 or the burst address signal at the terminal "b" from the adder 11 and outputs the selected address signal at terminal "c", which is supplied to the address terminal "A" of the failure analysis memory 5 and the memory under test 3.

Note that the address signal supplied to the MUT 3 on reading the stored test pattern signal out of the MUT 3 is also supplied to the failure analysis memory 5. Timing diagram, FIG. 2A, shows the first address (ADR0) corresponding to address signal (AD) and FIG. 2C shows the burst address signals produced internally of the memory under test 3, respectively. The cycle period of FIG. 2C is double the period of FIG. 2A.

Regarding Claim 5, Kurosaki discloses supplying the input signal of the test pattern (S1) to the semiconductor device MUT 3 after varying the desired address (AD) and the predetermined timing, and detecting whether any failure has been caused or not in the semiconductor device, by comparing the read-out signal S3 from the MTU 3 with an expected value pattern signal S2 in the logical comparator 4. A failure signal FD of logic "1" is outputted from the logical comparator 4 to memory 5 in which failure data corresponding to the failure signal FD is stored at an address of the failure analysis memory 5 specified by an address signal supplied to its address terminal "A". After the completion of the tests, the failure data stored in the failure analysis memory 5 are read out, and a failure analysis of the MUT 3 is performed.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James C Kerveros whose telephone number is (703) 305-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM TO 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

U.S. PATENT OFFICE

Examiner's Fax: (703) 746-4461 Email: james.kerveros@uspto.gov

Date: 24 May 2004

Office Action: Non-Final Rejection

By:

James C Kerveros Examiner Art Unit 2133

Albert DeCady Primary Examiner